

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA  
EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA )  
 )  
 v. ) CRIM. CASE NO. 3:19-cr-138-ECM  
 )  
 LAMARTREZ OSHUN STORY )

## MEMORANDUM OPINION and ORDER

Now pending before the court is Defendant LaMartrez Story's unopposed motion to continue trial (doc. 151) filed on July 20, 2020. Jury selection and trial is presently set on the term of court commencing on August 10, 2020. For the reasons set forth below, the court will grant a continuance of the trial pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7).

While the trial judge enjoys great discretion when determining whether to grant a continuance, the court is limited by the requirements of the Speedy Trial Act. 18 U.S.C. § 3161; *United States v. Stitzer*, 785 F.2d 1506, 1516 (11th Cir. 1986). The Act provides in part:

“In any case in which a plea of not guilty is entered, the trial of a defendant charged in an information or indictment with the commission of an offense shall commence within seventy days from the filing date (and making public) of the information or indictment, or from the date the defendant has appeared before a judicial officer of the court in which such charge is pending, whichever date last occurs.”

18 U.S.C. § 3161(c)(1).

The Act excludes, however, certain delays from the seventy-day period, including delays based on “findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.” 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). In determining whether to grant a continuance under § 3161(h)(7), the court “shall consider,” among other factors, whether denial of a continuance would likely “result in a miscarriage of justice,” or “would deny

counsel for the defendant . . . the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.” § 3161(h)(7)(B)(i), (iv).

Counsel for Story represents that, due to the national emergency declared by the President as the result of the outbreak of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19), he now has two criminal trials set before two different judges for the same trial term. Counsel is unable to adequately prepare for trial in both cases, and would be hampered in his ability to adequately represent the defendant in this case. Story is not incarcerated and would not be prejudiced by a continuance. The United States does not oppose a continuance. After careful consideration, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting a continuance of this trial outweigh the best interest of the public and Story in a speedy trial.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the motion to continue (doc. 151) is GRANTED, and jury selection and trial are CONTINUED from August 10, 2020 to the criminal term of court commencing on November 2, 2020 at 10:00 a.m. with jury selection and trial of this case in Montgomery, Alabama.

The United States Magistrate Judge shall conduct a pretrial conference prior to the November trial term.

Done this 22nd day of July, 2020.

/s/Emily C. Marks  
EMILY C. MARKS  
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE